arXiv:quant-ph/0106146v1 25 Jun 2001

Detection and correction of errors with quantum tomography

Z. Sazonova
Physics Department, Moscow Automobile and Road Construction Institute (Technical University), 64, Leningradskii prospect, Moscow, Russia

R. Singh
Wave Research Center at General Physics Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, 38, Vavilov street, Moscow 117942, Russia
Tel./Fax: (+7 095) 135-8234 email: ranjit@dataforce.net

Abstract

It is shown that quantum tomography can detect and correct unlimited number of errors during the evaluation of quantum algorithms on quantum computer.

1 Introduction

Two main problems of constructing the quantum computer:

1. Quantum decoherence, which is inevitable part of quantum computer. Due to the decoherence [16], the errors start to appear in quantum algorithms, which destroy the information. To remove the errors during the evaluation of quantum algorithms a number of error correcting algorithms [7,8,16,19,18,20,21,29,32,34,35] have been discovered. But none of them can correct unlimited number of errors. For example, the classical/quantum Hamming error-correcting code can correct only one error [7,19,18,27]. Moreover, classical/quantum algorithms need additional bits/qubits for the correction purposes [7,27]. For example, if we have codeword of three symbols ($n = k = 3$), $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$. Where u_i takes value 0 or 1. After decoherence the codeword changes the value of symbol from 0 to 1 or vice-versa. To know whether the codeword u has changed its configuration or not after decoherence, we extend the length of codeword from $n = k = 3$ to $n = k+1$ or $n = k+2$. The $4th$ and $5th$ positions in the codeword, we keep for the parity symbols [1,9]. Now the codeword or vector $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, \ldots)$ should satisfy the condition $H.u^T = 0$ [7,27]. Where H is parity matrix, which we choose ourself, so that the condition $H.u^T$ should satisfy [7,27].

2. The problems of higher moments. As we know in NMR (nuclear magnetic resonance), the higher order moments (total spin $s > 1$) are uncontrollable or they are not observable with the experimental technique, which we have at the moment. It means that the quantum computer, which consists of

more than 2 spins of 1/2 (i.e., total spin $s > 1$) always has uncontrollable moments. So, the density matrix for more than 2 spins could not reconstructed fully, with the NMR technique or quantum tomography method experimentally. But we can reconstruct the density matrix theoretically with the help of quantum tomography.

We will discuss points 1 and 2 in more rigorous way in sections 2, 3, 4, and 5.

2 Error-correction with quantum tomography

The main purpose of error in quantum computer is to flip the phase of the quantum state. The state vector of one spin 1/2 can be written as

$$|\phi>= a|0> +b|1> . \tag{1}$$

Where $|0>$ or $|1>$ stand for the plus or minus direction of the spin projection on axis z. a, b are the amplitudes of state $|0>$ and $|1>$.
The decoherence or three Pauli matrices σ_x, σ_y, and σ_z can change the phase and flip the positions of the vectors $|0>$ to $|1>$ and $|1>$ to $|0>$ i.e., $\sigma_x|\phi>$- flips the complex amlitudes of the state vector (1), $\sigma_z|\phi>$- changes the phase of the state (1), $\sigma_y|\phi>= i\sigma_x\sigma_z|\phi>$- changes the pahse and filipping the state vector (1).

The same procedure will be for the cases of higher spins, entanglement, and for mixed states.
To correct the quantum errors, we usually use ancilla (additonal) qubits. The ancilla qubits contain the error information, which we can extract by projecting state vector to the corresponding state [29,32,34,35]. To correct the error in one qubit, we need 2 ancilla qubits. It means the total qubits will be three or total spin $s = s_1 + s_2 + s_3$ and the uncontrollable moments will appear in the density matrix, which are not reconstructable by the experiment. So, the ancilla qubits will complex our problems more than we will have without them, which we will show later.

3 Problems of higher moments during the reconstruction of density matrix.

3.1 Polarization/multipole tensors

Any square matrix can be decomposed into the polarization tensors [39,6]

$$\hat{A} = \sum_{L=0}^{2\hat{S}} \sum_{M=-L}^{L} A_{L,M} \hat{T}_{L,M}(\hat{S}). \tag{2}$$

Where $A_{L,M} = Sp\{\hat{T}^{+}_{L,M}\hat{A}\}$ is decomposition coefficient of matrix $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{T}^{+}_{L,M}(\hat{S}) = (-1)^{M}\hat{T}_{L,-M}$ is complex conjugated polarization tensor of $\hat{T}_{L,M}$ of total spin $\hat{S}$

$$\hat{T}_{L,M}(\hat{S}) = \sqrt{\frac{2L+1}{2\hat{S}+1}} \sum_{m,m'} C^{\hat{S},m'}_{\hat{S},m,L,M} \chi_{\hat{S},m'} \chi^{+}_{\hat{S},m}. \tag{3}$$

Where $\chi_{\hat{S},m}$ - spin vector of spin $\hat{S}$ with projection m on z-axis and $C^{\hat{S},m'}_{\hat{S},m,L,M}$ - is Clebsch-Gordan coefficient [6,10,39]. L and M take the values between $0 \leq L \leq 2\hat{S}$ and $= -L \leq M \leq L$.

3.2 Rotation of polarization tensors

Since the polarization tensors are invariant [39,6] under any rotations. So, we will rotate our reference frame with Wigner function $\hat{D}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ [10,6,39] with Euler angles α, β and γ

$$\hat{T}'_{L,M'} = \hat{D}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\hat{T}_{L,M}\hat{D}^{-1}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = \sum_{M=-\hat{S}}^{\hat{S}} D^{L}_{M,M'}\hat{T}_{L,M} \tag{4}$$

4 Density matrices

By using (2), we can decompose density matrix $\hat{\rho}(\hat{S})$ of dimensions $n \times n$ with decomposition elements $\rho_{L,M}(\hat{S})$ of spin $\hat{S}$ i.e.,

$$\hat{\rho}(\hat{S}) = \sum_{L=0}^{2\hat{S}} \sum_{M=-L}^{L} \rho_{L,M}(\hat{S})\hat{T}_{L,M}(\hat{S}). \tag{5}$$

4.1 Kronecker product of denisty matrices

Let the total spin $\hat{S}_{1\ldots n}$ is consist of n number of spins i.e., $\hat{S}_{1\ldots n} = \hat{S}_1 + \hat{S}_2 + \cdots + \hat{S}_n$. The density matrix of a system of n spins is written

$$\hat{\rho}_{1\ldots n}(\hat{S}_{1\ldots n}) = \otimes_{i=1}^{n}\hat{\rho}_i(\hat{S}_i). \tag{6}$$

Where $\otimes_{i=1}^{n}\hat{\rho}_i(S_i) = \underbrace{\underbrace{\underbrace{\hat{\rho}_1(\hat{S}_1) \otimes \hat{\rho}_2(\hat{S}_2)}_{1^{st}} \otimes \hat{\rho}_3(\hat{S}_3)}_{2^{nd}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\rho}_n(\hat{S}_n)}_{n^{th}}$ The 1^{st} underbrace denotes the kronecker product of $\hat{\rho}_1(\hat{S}_1)$ and $\hat{\rho}_2(\hat{S}_2)$, the 2^{nd} denotes the kronecker product of the result of 1^{st} underbrace and $\hat{\rho}_3(\hat{S}_3)$ and so on. By using

(5), we can decompose (6) into

$$\hat{\rho}_{1\ldots n}(\hat{S}_{1\ldots n}) = \sum_{L_1,L_2,\cdots,L_n=0,0,\cdots,0}^{2\hat{S}_1,2\hat{S}_2,\cdots,2\hat{S}_n} \sum_{M_1,M_2,\cdots,M_n=-L_1,L_2,\cdots,L_n}^{L_1,L_2,\cdots,L_n} \{[(\hat{\rho}_{L_1,M_1} \otimes\rho_{L_2,M_2}(\hat{S}_2)) \otimes \rho_{L_3,M_3}(\hat{S}_3)] \otimes\cdots\otimes\rho_{L_n,M_n}(\hat{S}_n)\}\{[(\hat{T}_{L_1,M_1}(\hat{S}_1) \otimes\hat{T}_{L_2,M_2}(\hat{S}_2)) \otimes \hat{T}_{L_3,M_3}(\hat{S}_3)] \otimes\cdots\otimes \hat{T}_{L_n,M_n}(\hat{S}_n)\}. \quad (7)$$

The kronecker product [6,10,39] of two polariation tensors $\hat{O}_{L_1,M_1}(\hat{S}_1)$ and $\hat{O}_{L_2,M_2}(\hat{S}_2)$ having spins $\hat{S}_1$ and $\hat{S}_2$ can be written as

$$\hat{O}_{L_1,M_1}(\hat{S}_1) \otimes \hat{O}_{L_2,M_2}(\hat{S}_2) = \sum_{\hat{S}_{12}=|L_1-L_2|}^{L_1+L_2} \sum_{M=-\hat{S}_{12}}^{\hat{S}_{12}} C^{\hat{S}_{12},M}_{L_1,M_1,L_2,M_2} \hat{O}_{L,M}(\hat{S}_{12}). \quad (8)$$

By applying the kronecker product property (8), we can get the density matrix (7) of dimensions $n\times n$ in the following way: first we apply (8) to density matrices $\hat{\rho}_1(\hat{S}_1)\otimes\hat{\rho}_2(\hat{S}_2)$ and then the kronecker product of result of $\hat{\rho}_1(\hat{S}_1)\otimes\hat{\rho}_2(\hat{S}_2)$ and $\hat{\rho}_3(\hat{S}_3)$ and so on.

4.2 Examples in quantum information theory

First of all, we will consider the density matrices for two spins $\hat{S}_1$, $\hat{S}_2$ and then gradually increase the n number of spins upto 3. Since, we are interested in quantum information theory, so we are considering all the spins with spin 1/2.

4.2.1 Density matrix of two spins

By using (3), (5), (6), (7), and (8), we can write density matrix $\hat{\rho}_{12}$ of two spins $\hat{S}_1$ and $\hat{S}_2$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\rho}_{12}(\hat{S}_{12}) &= \hat{\rho}_1(\hat{S}_1) \otimes \hat{\rho}_2(\hat{S}_2) \\
&= \sum_{L_1,L_2=0,0}^{2\hat{S}_1,2\hat{S}_2} \sum_{M_1,M_2=-L_1,-L_2}^{L_1,L_2} [\rho_{L_1,M_1}(\hat{S}_1) \otimes \rho_{L_2,M_2}(\hat{S}_2)] \\
&\quad [\hat{T}_{L_1,M_1}(\hat{S}_1) \otimes \hat{T}_{L_2,M_2}(\hat{S}_2)] \\
&= \sum_{L_1,L_2=0,0}^{2\hat{S}_1,2\hat{S}_2} \sum_{M_1,M_2=-L_1,-L_2}^{L_1,L_2} \sum_{\hat{S}_{12}=|L_1-L_2|}^{L_1+L_2} \sum_{M_{12}=-\hat{S}_{12}}^{\hat{S}_{12}} \\
&\quad C^{\hat{S}_{12},M_{12}}_{L_1,M_1,L_2,M_2} C^{\hat{S}_{12},M_{12}}_{L_1,M_1,L_2,M_2} [\rho_{\hat{S}_{12},M_{12}}(\hat{S}_{12})][\hat{T}_{L_{12},M_{12}}(\hat{S}_{12})]. \quad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

$\hat{T}_{S_{12},M_{12}}$ can be defined from (3). The spin vectors χ for $\hat{S}_{12}$ can be defined by diagonalizing the spin Hamiltonian.

5 Problems in the reconstruction of density matrix

The problems arise when we want to reconstruct some elements of density matrix for spin $\hat{S} > 1$. That is, we could not reconstruct all the elements of density matrix experimentally. Theoretically, there are no problems of reconstruction of density matrix elements. The higher order moments [23] are responsible for the reconstruction of density matrix for $\hat{S} \geq 1$. We will discuss later about the moments, which can be detected with experiment and which not.

5.1 Power expansion of electrostatic and magnetostatic field

If the distance between the two charged particles (nuclei) is large as compare to their dimensions then the electrostatic and magnetostatic field between them can be expanded into power series [23,24,30]

5.1.1 Power expension of electrostatic field

$$\varphi_{electro}^{(l)} = \frac{1}{R_0^{(l+1)}} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} Q_m^{(l)} Y_{l,m}^*(\Theta, \Phi) \tag{10}$$

$$Q_m^{(l)} = \sum_a e_a r_a^{(l)} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} Y_{l,m}(\Theta_a, \Phi_a) \tag{11}$$

Where e_a, r_a- electric charge and radius of a^{th} nucleus relative to its adjacent nucleus. $\vec{R}_0-$ radius vector of all nuclei to the point of observation. $Q_m^{(l)}-$ tensor [5,6,10,11,14,31,39] of rang l with $2l+1$ independent components. $Y_{l,m}^*(\Theta, \Phi)-$ complex conjugated spherical harmonic of angles (Θ,Φ) betweeen vectors $\vec{R}$, $\vec{r}$, and coordinate axes.

By using the spherical harmonic properties [24,14,39], we can express spherical harmonics into eigenvectors of integer spin $\hat{S}$. For example, for $\hat{S} = 1$, we have

$$Y_{1,0} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \cos(\Theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

$$Y_{1,1} = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin(\Theta) \exp(i\Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{13}$$

$$Y_{1,-1} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin(\Theta) \exp(-i\Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{14}$$

5.1.2 Power expension of magnetostatic field

The power expension of magnetostatic field can be written similarly as in the case of electrostatic field, i.e.,

$$\varphi^{(l)}_{magnetic} = \frac{1}{R_0^{(l+1)}} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} Q^{(l)}_m Y^*_{l,m}(\Theta, \Phi) \tag{15}$$

$$Q^{(l)}_m = \sum_a e_a r^{(l)}_a \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} Y_{l,m}(\Theta_a, \Phi_a) \tag{16}$$

5.2 Electric quadrupole moments

Nuclei with spins $\hat{S} = 1/2$ have symmetric distribution of their charges. So, the elerctric quadrupole moments have value $Q = 0$. Nuclei with spins $\hat{S} \geq 1$ have asymmetric distribution of charges which create electric quadrupole moment $Q \neq 0$. The Q moment can interact with gradient of electric field at nucleus. To find the electric quadrupole moments of a system of spins, we have two options: 1. By applying gradient of electric field. 2. Through acoustic nuclear magnetic resonance.

5.3 Longitudinal (T_1) and transverse (T_2) relaxations

Spin-spin interactions, spin-lattice interaction are responsible for relaxation times T_1 and T_2.

5.4 Quantum tomography of spin systems

Theoretically, we can reconstruct the density matrix by applying the quantum tomography to spin systems. It means, we have to rotate density matrix with Euler angles (α, β, γ) and take integration over α, β, γ, which is not possible experimentally.
Examples:

5.5 Higher order moments

As the number of spin increases, the higher order moment problems arise.
For example:
For the case of two spins 1/2 ($\hat{S}_1 = 1/2$, $\hat{S}_2 = 1/2$ and $\hat{S} = \hat{S}_1 + \hat{S}_2$), we have magnetic dipole moment and electric quadrupole moment [5,6,10,11,31,39], which can be defined from the experiment.
For the case of three spins 1/2 ($\hat{S}_1 = 1/2$, $\hat{S}_2 = 1/2$, $\hat{S}_3 = 1/2$ and $\hat{S} = \hat{S}_1 + \hat{S}_2 + \hat{S}_3$), we have magnetic dipole moment, electric quadrupole moment, magnetic quadrupol moment and electric octoploe moment. The higher order moments: magnetic quadrupole moments, electric octople moments are not

extractable from the experiment due to the absence of comlicated experiment scheme.
For the case of higher number of spins 1/2. The higher order moments appear, which are undefined from the experiment except magnetic dipole moment and electric quadrupole moments.

5.5.1 Spin Hamiltonian for 2 spins 1/2

Hamiltonian of two spins $\hat{\sigma}_1$ and $\hat{\sigma}_2$ defined in linear space S_1, S_2. $\hat{\sigma}_{1z}$, $\hat{\sigma}_{2z}$ coupling with hyperfine interaction J_{12} are placed parallel to applied constant magnetic field $B_0 \| z - axis$. For simplicity, we are taking $\hbar = 1$.

$$\hat{H}2 = -\mu B_0 \cdot (\hat{\sigma}_{z1} \otimes \hat{E}_2) - \mu B_0 \cdot (\hat{E}_1 \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{z2}) + J_{12}(\hat{\sigma}_{x1} \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{x2}) + J_{12}(\hat{\sigma}_{y1} \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{y2})$$

5.5.2 Spin Hamiltonian for 3 spins 1/2

Hamiltonian of three spins $\hat{\sigma}_1$, $\hat{\sigma}_2$ and $\hat{\sigma}_3$ are defined in linear space S_1, S_2, S_3 and coupling with hyperfine interaction J_{12} between $\hat{\sigma}_1$ and $\hat{\sigma}_2$, J_{23} between $\hat{\sigma}_2$ and $\hat{\sigma}_3$ and J_{31} between spins $\hat{\sigma}_3$ and $\hat{\sigma}_1$. $\hat{\sigma}_{1z}, \hat{\sigma}_{2z}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{3z}$ are placed parallel to applied constant magnetic field $B_0 \| z - axis$:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}3 = -\mu B_0 \cdot (\hat{\sigma}_{z1} \otimes \hat{E}_2 \otimes \hat{E}_3) - \mu B_0 \cdot (\hat{E}_1 \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{z2} \otimes \hat{E}_3) \\ -\mu B_0 \cdot (\hat{E}_1 \otimes \hat{E}_2 \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{z3}) + J_{12}(\hat{\sigma}_1 \otimes \hat{\sigma}_2 \otimes \hat{E}_3) \\ +J_{23}(\hat{E}_1 \otimes \hat{\sigma}_2 \otimes \hat{\sigma}_3) + J_{31}(\hat{\sigma}_3 \otimes \hat{\sigma}_1 \otimes \hat{E}_2)\end{aligned}$$

Hamiltonians of higher number of spins 1/2 can be written in the same way as for 2 and 3 spins 1/2.

5.6 Kronecker product in quantum information theory to get the spin Hamiltonians

To write the spin Hamiltonian, first of all we should write $\hat{S}_x$, $\hat{S}_y$, $\hat{S}_z$, $\hat{S}^2$ and then add them, we will get the spin Hamiltonians (e.g. $\hat{H}2$ and $\hat{H}3$) in the following way
Let we want to write the spin Hamiltonian of n nuclear spins in NMR (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance):

1. Total projection of spins on z-axis is conserved.

$$\hat{S}_z = 1/2(\hat{\sigma}_{1z} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \otimes_{i=2}^{n} \hat{E}_i, & \text{if } n \geq 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1. \end{array} \right\} + \hat{E}_1 \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{2z} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \otimes_{i=3}^{n} \hat{E}_i, & \text{if } n \geq 3 \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } n < 2. \end{array} \right\}$$

$$+\hat{E}_1 \otimes \hat{E}_2 \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{3z} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \otimes_{i=4}^{n} \hat{E}_i, & \text{if } n \geq 3 \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 3 \\ 0 & \text{if } n < 3. \end{array} \right\} + \cdots) \quad (17)$$

$\hat{S}_x$ and $\hat{S}_y$ can be written by putting $\hat{\sigma}_x$ and $\hat{\sigma}_y$ in place of $\hat{\sigma}_z$.

2. The square of the total spin $\hat{S}^2 = \hat{S} \cdot (\hat{S} + 1)$ is conserved.

$$\hat{S} = 1/2(i\hat{\sigma}_x + j\hat{\sigma}_y + k\hat{\sigma}_z) \quad (18)$$

$$\hat{S}^2 = 1/4(\hat{\sigma}_x^2 + \hat{\sigma}_y^2 + \hat{\sigma}_z^2) \quad (19)$$

3. Equations (14) and (3) are constant of motion. That is $[\hat{S}_z, \hat{S}^2] = 0$. It means that the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of (14) and (3) are identicals.

4. The Hamiltonians $\hat{H}2$ and $\hat{H}3$ are consist of two parts, addition of (14) and (3). So the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of equations (14) and (3) are also the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of Hamiltonians $\hat{H}2$ and $\hat{H}3$.

6 Conclusion

We propose an alternative technique (quantum tomography), by using that we can correct the errors and can reconstruct the higher moments. So, the ancilla qubits make the error-correction problem more complicate. To remove the errors in quantum computer, we need to develop the experimental techniques how to detect higher moments.

References

[1] *A. Abragam.* The principles of nuclear magnetism. Oxford: Clarendon press, 1961

[2] *I. V. Alexandrov.* Theory of nuclear magnetic resonance. Moscow: Nauka, 1964 (in Russian)

[3] *I. V. Alexandrov.* Theory of magnetic relaxation. Moscow: Nauka, 1975 (in Russian)

[4] *V. G. Bar'yakhtar, B. A. Ivanov.* Magnetisim what is it? Kiev: Naukova Dumka, 1981 (in Russian)

[5] *L. C. Biedenharn, H. Van Dam.* Quantum theory of angular momentum. London: Academic Press, 1965

[6] *K. Blum.* Density matrix theory and applications. NY: Plenum Press, 1981

[7] *D. Bouwmeester, A. Ekert, and A. Zeilinger*, The Physics of Quantum Information, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2000.

[8] *A. R. Calderbank, and P. W. Shor*, Phys. Rev. A, 54, 1098 (1996)

[9] *E. U. Condon, G. H. Shortley*. The theory of atomic spectra. London: , 1935

[10] *A. R. Edmonds*. Angular momentum in quantum mechanics. NJ: Princeton University Press, 1957

[11] *R. R. Ernst, G. Bodenhausen, A. Wokaum*. Principles of nuclear magnetic resonance in one and two dimensions. Oxford: Clarendon press, 1987

[12] *I. M. Gel'fand, R. A. Minlos and Z. Ya. Shapiro*. Representations of rotation groups and Lorentz group. Moscow: FIZMATGIZ, 1958 (in Russian)

[13] *N. Gershenfeld, I. L. Chuang*. Science 275, 350 (1997)

[14] *S. K. Godunov, T. Yu. Mikhailova*. Representations of rotation groups and spherical harmonics. Novosibirsk: Nauchnaya kniga, 1998 (in Russian)

[15] *M. Goldman*. Spin temperature and nuclear magnetic resonance in solids. Oxford: Clarendon press, 1970

[16] *D. Gottesman*, Phys. Rev. A, 54, 1862 (1996)

[17] *A. Kitaev*, Uspekhi matematicheskii nauk (UMN), No.6 (1997)

[18] *A. Kitaev, A. Shen, and M. Vyalie*, Classical and quantum computing. Moscow: MTSNMO, 1999 (in Russian)

[19] *C. Kittel*. Introduction to solid state physics. NY: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1976.

[20] *E. Knill, and R. Laflamme*, Phys. Rev. A, 54, 900 (1997)

[21] *E. Knill, and R. Laflamme*, (LANL eprint quant-ph/9708021)

[22] *R. Laflamme, etc.* arXiv:quant-ph/9709025

[23] *L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz*. The classical theory of fields. Moscow: Nauka, 1988 (in Russian)

[24] *L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz*. Quantum mechanics-nonrelativistic theory. Moscow: Nauka, 1989 (in Russian)

[25] *I. B. Levinson, A. A. Nikitin*. Guide to the theoretical calculation of intensity of atomic spectral lines. Leningrad: Leningrad Uni. press, 1962 (in Russian)

[26] *G. Ya. Lubarskii*. Group theory and its application in physics. Moscow: GITTL, 1957 (in Russian)

[27] *F. J. MacWilliams, and N. J. Sloane*, The theory of error-correcting codes. Amsterdam: North-Holland company, 1977

[28] *M. I. Petrashen, E. D. Trifonov*. Application of theory group in quantum mechanics. Moscow: Nauka, 1967 (in Russian)

[29] *J. Preskill*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A, 454, 469 (1998)

[30] *A. A. Radsig, B. M. Smirnov*. Handbook on atomic and molecular physics. Moscow: ATOMIZDAT, 1980 (in Russian)

[31] *M. E. Rose*. Elementary theory of angular momentum. NY: Jhon Wiley & Sons, 1957

[32] *P. W. Shor*, Phys. Rev. A, 52, R2493 (1995)

[33] *C. P. Slichter*. Principles of magnetic resonance. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1990

[34] *A. M. Steane*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 77, 793 (1995)

[35] *A. M. Steane*, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A, 452, 2551 (1995)

[36] *M Tinkham*. Group theory and quantum mechanics. NY: McGraw-Hill, 1964

[37] *E. B. Vinberg*. Course in algebra. Moscow: Factorial press, 2001 (in Russian)

[38] *W. Zurek*, Physics Today, 44, 10, p.36 (1991)

[39] *D. A. Warshalovich, A. I. Moskalev, V. K. Khersonskii*. Quantum theory of angular momentum. Lenigrad: Nauka, 1975 (in Russian)